Ring Accelerator Physics for Non Accelerator Specialists

Hitoshi TANAKA XFEL Division JASRI

Cheiron School 2010@SPring-8

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Light source properties vs electron beam performance
- 3. Stochasticity of photoemission
- 4. Distribution of circulating electron beam
- 5. Extra: Approach to coherent X-rays

1. Introduction (1)

Even any member in an experiment-related division or BL scientists, who are not the accelerator specialists, should understand the relation between the SR properties and electron beam performances,

> to keep the present experimental condition, to improve the condition as much as possible, and to challenge new experiments by using the advanced SR.

1. Introduction (2)

You need high quality SR for better experiments

For this purpose what you should do?



Sample

Cheiron School 2010@SPring-8

1. Introduction (3)

Mutual understanding through communication



Cheiron School 2010@SPring-8

Outline

1. Introduction

- 2. Light source properties vs electron beam performance
- 3. Stochasticity of photoemission
- 4. Distribution of circulating electron beam
- 5. Extra: Approach to coherent X-rays

2. Light source properties vs electron beam performance(1)

Main devices to supply SR to users are "undulators", which are installed in magnet-free straight sections in 3rd-generation SR sources.





Cheiron School 2010@SPring-8

2. Light source properties vs electron beam performance(2)

In an undulator, radiation from <u>a single electron</u> at each undulation interferes with each other.



Spectral narrowing by resonant condition for a single electron is $\lambda_1 = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2} (1 + K^2/2 + \gamma^2 \theta^2)$ where K and γ are a deflecting parameter and relative energy.

Cheiron School 2010@SPring-8

2. Light source properties vs electron beam performance(3)

Q: Why does the radiation concentrate within the γ cone ? A: The electron can run after the emitted light with the almost light speed only in this limited angle.



Cheiron School 2010@SPring-8

2. Light source properties vs electron beam performance(4)

Q: Why does the radiation concentrate within the γ cone ? A: The electron runs after the emitted light with the almost light speed only in this limited angle.



2. Light source properties vs electron beam performance(5)

If electron beam were point-like without spatial divergence and , all electrons could have no angular divergence, radiations were coherent !

Real radiation properties are obtained by convoluting radiations from all N electrons



In the real world N electrons are distributed in a phase space, never degenerate on the same point.

2. Light source properties vs electron beam performance(6)

In order to obtain the same resonance condition over most of circulating electrons distributed, sufficiently small beam emittance is required.



2. Light source properties vs electron beam performance(7)

In order to obtain the same resonance condition over most of circulating electrons distributed, sufficiently small beam energy spread is required.

$$\lambda_{1} = \frac{\lambda_{u}}{2(\gamma + \Delta \gamma)^{2}} (1 + K^{2}/2 + \gamma^{2}\theta^{2})$$

$$\Delta\lambda_1 \sim 2\lambda_1 < \Delta\gamma/\gamma >$$

Spectral broadening by the energy spread is much less than intrinsic broadening $\sim 1/N_u$.

N_u:undulator period number



2. Light source properties vs electron beam performance(8)

$$B \propto \frac{I_{\rm b} \cdot N_{\rm u}^2 \cdot \gamma^2 \cdot F_{\rm n}({\rm K}, \delta)}{\sigma_{\rm x} \sigma_{\rm x'} \sigma_{\rm y} \sigma_{\rm y'} \left(\sim \epsilon_{\rm x} \cdot \epsilon_{\rm y} \right)},$$

- B: Brilliance (phs/sec/mm²/mrad²/100mA)
- I_b: Beam current (mA)
- N_u: Undulator period number

 σ_x, σ_y : Horizontal and vertical beam sizes (m)

- $\sigma_{x'}, \sigma_{y'}$: Horizontal and vertical angular divergence (rad)
- δ : Beam energy spread
- K :Deflection parameter

2. Light source properties vs electron beam performance(9)

$$\sigma_{x} = \sqrt{\sigma_{p}^{2} + \beta_{x} \varepsilon_{x}} + \eta_{x}^{2} \delta^{2}, \quad \sigma_{x'} = \sqrt{\sigma_{p'}^{2} + \gamma_{x} \varepsilon_{x}} + \eta'_{x}^{2} \delta^{2}, \quad Photon$$

$$\sigma_{y} = \sqrt{\sigma_{p}^{2} + \beta_{y} \varepsilon_{y}} + \eta_{y}^{2} \delta^{2}, \quad \sigma_{y'} = \sqrt{\sigma_{p'}^{2} + \gamma_{y} \varepsilon_{y}} + \eta'_{y}^{2} \delta^{2}, \quad Electron emittance$$

$$\sigma_{y} = \frac{-d\beta_{x,y}}{ds}, \quad \gamma_{x,y} = \frac{1 + \alpha_{x,y}^{2}}{\beta_{x,y}}, \quad \eta'_{x,y} = \frac{d\eta_{x,y}}{ds}. \quad Electron energy spread$$

 $\epsilon_{x}, \epsilon_{y}$: Horizontal and vertical emittance (m•rad) $\beta_{x,y}$: Horizontal and vertical betatoron functions at ID $\eta_{x,y}$: Horizontal and vertical dispersion functions at ID $\sigma_{p}, \sigma_{p'}$: Spatial and angular divergence of photon beam Cheiron School 2010@SPring-8

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Light source properties vs electron beam performance
- 3. Stochasticity of photoemission
- 4. Distribution of circulating electron beam
- 5. Extra: Approach to coherent X-rays

3. Stochasticity of photoemission(1)

The photo-emission process is **not continuous**, in other words, **quantized process**. So, the emission position, the number of the emission photons, and the emission photon energy have **fluctuations**.

This stochasticity (random fluctuation) causes finite spread of the circulating electron beam in the 6D phase space. The density distribution is generally Gaussian due to the central limit theorem.

3. Stochasticity of photoemission(2)



3. Stochasticity of photoemission(3)

A relativistic electron accelerated in a magnetic field will radiate electromagnetic energy at a rate which is proportional to the square of the accelerating force.

Averaged radiation power> $P = \frac{2}{3} \frac{r_e c}{(m_0 c^2)^3} E^2 F_{\perp}^2 = \frac{2}{3} \frac{r_e c}{(m_0 c^2)^3} \frac{E^4}{\rho^2} \cdot$ **Averaged radiation energy per turn>** $U = \int P^{T} dt = \int P^{\perp} \frac{dI}{c},$ $U(keV) = \frac{88.5E^4(GeV)}{\rho(m)} \text{ for the case with the constant } \rho.$

3. Stochasticity of photoemission(4)

In the quantized radiation process, the integrated parameter, energy loss per unit time also fluctuates. Magnitude of the fluctuation can be defined by a mean square.

<Mean square of energy loss fluctuation per unit time>

$$= \frac{55}{24\sqrt{3}} \frac{U}{T}u_{c}, \qquad u_{c} = \frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{\rho}\gamma^{3}.$$

 $u_{c:}$ critical photon energy ~ 3.2<u>

<Averaged photo-emission rate>

 $<N>(phs/s) ~ 3.2P/u_{c}$

3. Stochasticity of photoemission(5)



U_c represents the photon energy at the peak brilliance of the BM radiation.

3. Stochasticity of photoemission(6)

Let's estimate the parameters!

<Case-1 E=1 GeV, ρ =5m>

$$U(\text{keV}) = \frac{88.5 \times 1^{4}}{5} = 17.7, \quad P(\text{keV/s}) = \frac{U}{\text{T} = 2\pi \times 5/c} = 1.7 \times 10^{8}$$
$$u_{c} (\text{keV}) = \frac{3}{2} \frac{6.85 \times 10^{-19} \times 2.998 \times 10^{8} \times 1957^{3}}{5} = 0.44$$
$$<\text{Nu}^{2} > (\text{keV}^{2}/\text{s}) = \frac{55}{24\sqrt{3}} - 1.7 \times 10^{8} \times 0.44 = 0.99 \times 10^{8}$$
$$<\text{N>(photons/s)} \sim 3.2 \times 1.7 \times 10^{8} / 0.44 = 1.2 \times 10^{9}$$

3. Stochasticity of photoemission(7)

SPring-8 parameter is the next example.

<Case-2 E=8 GeV, ρ =40m>

$$U(\text{keV}) = \frac{88.5 \times 8^{4}}{40} = 9.1 \times 10^{3}, P(\text{keV/s}) = \frac{U}{\text{T} = 2\pi \times 40/\text{c}} = 1.1 \times 10^{10}$$
$$u_{\text{c}} (\text{keV}) = \frac{3}{2} \frac{6.85 \times 10^{-19} \times 2.998 \times 10^{8} \times 15656^{3}}{40} \neq 29.6$$
$$<\text{Nu}^{2} > (\text{keV}^{2}/\text{s}) = \frac{55}{24\sqrt{3}} - 1.1 \times 10^{10} \times 29.6 = 4.31 \times 10^{11}$$
$$<\text{N>(photons/s)} \sim 3.2 \times 1.1 \times 10^{10} / 29.6 = 1.2 \times 10^{9}$$

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Light source properties vs electron beam performance
- 3. Stochasticity of photoemission
- 4. Distribution of circulating electron beam
- 5. Extra: Approach to coherent X-rays

4. Distribution of circulating electron beam(1)

6D-phase space volume of a single electron, \mathcal{E}_{s6} comprises of canonical variables (x, px(x'), y, py(y'), t, ps($\Delta E/E$)). In an ideal case, 6D-phase space volume can be written by

the product of areas of the three orthogonal 2D spaces \mathcal{E}_{sz} .



4. Distribution of circulating electron beam(2)

You can understand the relation between the 2D phase space and the emittance by using a simple harmonic oscillator.

$$x = A \cdot \cos(\omega t + \phi_{0})$$

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = x^{2} = -A \cdot \omega \sin(\omega t + \phi_{0})$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{dx}{dt} = -A \cdot \sin(\omega t + \phi_{0})$$

$$Action = A, Angle = \phi = \omega t + \phi_{0},$$

$$Invariant = x^{2} + (x^{2}/\omega)^{2} = A^{2} = \frac{\text{circle area}}{\pi} = \varepsilon_{sx}$$

4. Distribution of circulating electron beam(3)

We need potential wells to stabilize three orthogonal oscillation modes to keep electron beam in a storage ring.

Quadrupole magnets generate the adequate potential wells for two transversal oscillation modes, which are called betatron oscillations in the horizontal and vertical planes. RF acceleration electric field generates the adequate potential well for longitudinal oscillation mode, which is called a synchrotron oscillation. Cheiron School 2010@SPring-8



4. Distribution of circulating electron beam(4)

SR light properties reflects the 3×2D phase space distribution of circulating electrons.

We use the following **three ensemble-averaged emittances** to express beam distribution in three orthogonal phase spaces.



4. Distribution of circulating electron beam(5)

Width of the Gaussian distribution of N circulating electrons is determined by the **dynamical equilibrium between the** radiation excitation and damping.



4. Distribution of circulating electron beam(6)

Remember the invariant of a harmonic oscillator.

$$\frac{d < A^2 >}{dt} = \frac{d\varepsilon_z}{dt} \quad z = x, y, s.$$



Cheiron School 2010@SPring-8

4. Distribution of circulating electron beam(7)

Energy spread $\sigma_{\Delta E}$

$$\lim_{\Delta t \to 0} 2 \frac{\langle A \Delta A \rangle}{\Delta t} = -2 \frac{\langle A^2 \rangle}{\tau_{\epsilon}} , \quad \tau_{\epsilon} = \frac{E \times T}{U} ,$$

$$\lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\langle \Delta A^2 \rangle}{\Delta t} = \langle Nu^2 \rangle = \frac{55}{24\sqrt{3}} \frac{U}{T} u_c.$$

Since $\sigma_{\Delta E}$ is not the emittance, phase average factor should be considered, $\sigma_{\Delta E}^2 = \frac{\langle A^2 \rangle}{2}$,

$$\sigma_{\Delta E} = \sqrt{\frac{55}{96\sqrt{3}}} E \times u_c , \quad \sigma_{\Delta E/E} = \sqrt{\frac{55}{96\sqrt{3}}} \frac{u_c}{E}$$



4. Distribution of circulating electron beam(9)

Horizontal emittance ε_x

Excitation: due to the discrete energy jump + energy dispersion

Damping: due to the decrease of transverse momentum by the photoemission + acceleration along the running direction



4. Distribution of circulating electron beam(10)

For the typical magnetic lattice structure (Chasman Green: CG) based storage ring, the horizontal minimum emittance is written by

$$\mathcal{E}_{x \min}$$
 @general ~ $\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}_{x \min}$ @achromat
= $\frac{C_q \gamma^2}{8\sqrt{15} J_x} \theta_b^3$

 C_{q} : Quantumn constant 3.832×10⁻¹³ (m)

 $\theta_{\rm b}$: Deflection angle of a single bending magnet (rad)

Jx: Horizontal damping partition number ~ 1

4. Distribution of circulating electron beam(11)

Chasman-Green (CG) lattice is the most popular magnet cell structure for a low emittance SR souce, where a achromatic arc is composed of a pair of bending magnets.



Cheiron School 2010@SPring-8

4. Distribution of circulating electron beam(12)

Vertical emittance ε_v

 ε_v has two components; ε_{v1} = vertical emittance by stochastic photoemission ε_{v2} = vertical emittance by HV coupling Usually, $\epsilon_{v1} \ll \epsilon_{y2}$ 1-GeV storage ring γ=1000/0.511~2000 $1/\gamma \sim 5 \times 10^{-4}$ Principally, $\varepsilon_v = 1/1000 \varepsilon_x$ The Angular divergence In the vertical plane is $\sim 1/\gamma$ is possible

4. Distribution of circulating electron beam(13)

Vertical emittance is determined by magnetic error components mixing the horizontal and vertical betatron oscillation. The main sources are vertical misalignments of sextupole magnets and rotational errors of quadrupole magnets.

The effect of these error fields can be corrected to **0.1 % level** by the combination of beam response analysis and skew quadrupole corrector magnets.

One-dimensional diffraction limited X-ray beam is now available

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Light source properties vs electron beam performance
- 3. Stochasticity of photoemission
- 4. Distribution of circulating electron beam
- 5. Extra: Approach to coherent X-rays

5. Approach to coherent X-rays

Here, how to achieve coherent Xrays will be discussed. The content of this part will be ready until the lecture.